

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

03/26/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,443	12/04/2000	Steven T. Jaffe	BP1235	6265	
51472 7590 03/25/2008 GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON P.O. BOX 160727			EXAMINER TORRES, JUAN A		
AUSTIN, TX 7	78716-0727		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/729,443		JAFFE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Juan A. Torres	2611		

	Juan A. Torres	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of times may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.35(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee aware been filled in the date for purposes of determining the petiod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the petiod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension period for the propriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The depropriate extension is set for the fill above, if checked. Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, NOTICE OF APPEAL.							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a large further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet, (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			102 024).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			nt canceling the				
7.   For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) uil	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 62-115.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
see above.							
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>         ∩ Other:     </li> </ol>	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Amended claims include a new limitation "the Viterbi decoder operating with a zero traceback depth" not presented previously, that raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

/Mohammad H. Ghayour/ SPE, 2611